PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



(51) International Patent Classification 5:		(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/09215
C09K 7/00	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 6 April 1995 (06.04.95)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US (22) International Filing Date: 7 July 1994 ((AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC,
(30) Priority Data: 128,186 29 September 1993 (29.09.9	93) E	Published With international search report.
(71) Applicant: MOBIL OIL CORPORATION [US/U Gallows Road, Fairfax, VA 22037-0001 (US).	S]; 32	5
(72) Inventors: ASHIIAN, Henry; 22 Surrey Lane, East Bi NJ 08816 (US). PEEL, Larry, C.; 27 Queens Den, a AB1 8BQ (GB). SHEERIN, Thomas, J.; 2113 Pla Woodbridge, NJ 07095 (US). WILLIAMSON, R Kirkside Bothy, St. Cyrus, Kincardine and Deesis 0AQ (GB).	Aberde ze Driv obert, S	
(74) Agents: ROBERTS, Peter, William et al.; Mobil Oil tion, 3225 Gallows Road, Fairfax, VA 22037-0001		
(54) Title: NON TOXIC, BIODEGRADABLE WELL FL (57) Abstract	UIDS	
Well fluids such as drilling muds, especially useful in poly alpha-olefin (PAO) such as a low molecular weight oli	gomer e	drilling, are formulated with a hydrocarbon oil blend of a low viscosity f decene together with a C_{12} to C_{18} paraffinic hydrocarbon of petroleum. The fluids exhibit good biodegradability and are non-toxic to marine or formulation into oil based muds.
•		
		-

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MIR	Mauritania
Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
Burkina Paso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
Bulgaria	TE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
Beain	n	Italy	PL.	Poland
Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
Belarus	KE	Кепуа	RO	Romania
Canada	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
Congo		of Korea	SE	Sweden
Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SI	Slovenia
Côte d'Ivoire	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovakia
Cameroon	u	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
China	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
Czechoslovakia	LÜ	Luxenbourg	TG	Togo
Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
Germany	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
Deumark	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
Spain	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
Finland	ML	Mali	UZ	Uzhekistan
Prance	MIN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
Gabon				
	Australia Barbados Belgium Burkina Paso Bulgaria Beain Brazil Belarus Canada Ceutral African Republic Congo Switzerland Cote d'Ivoire Cameroon China Czechoslovakia Czech Republic Germany Denmark Spain Finland France	Austraia GB Austraia GB Austraia GB Barbados GN Belgium GR Bulkina Faso HU Bulgaria IE Beais IT Brazil JP Belarus KE Canada KE Canada KE Congo Switzerland KR Côte d'Noire KZ Cameroon LI China LK Czechoslovakia LU Czech Republic LV Germany MC Demmark MD Spain MG Finland ML Prance MN	Australia GB United Kingdom Australia GE Georgia Barbados GN GN Guinea Belgium GR Greece Burkina Faso BU Hungary Bulgaria IE Ireland Benia IT Ialy Brazil JP Japan Belarus KE Kenya Canada KG Kyrgystan Central African Republic KP Democratic People's Republic of Korea Switzerland KR Republic of Korea Congo Switzerland KR Republic of Korea Congo L1 Licehteuszcia China LK Sri Lanka Czechosłovakia LU Lucembourg Czech Republic LV Larvia Germany MC Monaco Demark MD Republic of Moldova Spain MG Madagascar Finland ML Mali Prance MN Mongolia	Australia GB United Kingdom MR Australia GE Georgia MW Barbados GN Guinea NE Belgium GR Greece NL Burkina Faso BU Hungary NO Bulgaria IE Ireland NZ Benia IT Inaly PL Brazil JP Japan PT Belarus KE Kenya RO Canada KG Kyrgystan RU Central African Republic KP Democratic People's Republic SD Congo of Korea SE Switzerland KR Republic of Korea SI Congo LI Lichteustein SN Comeron LI Lichteustein SN China LK Sri Lanka TD Czechoslovakia LU Lutembourg TG Czech Republic LV Larvia TJ Germany MC Monaco TT Demark MD Republic of Moldova UA Spain MG Madagascar US Finland ML Mail UZ Prance MN Mongolia

NON TOXIC, BIODEGRADABLE WELL FLUIDS

The present invention relates to well fluids, especially to drilling fluids or muds which are useful in the rotary drilling process used for making wells into subterranean formations containing oil, gas or other minerals. In particular, the invention relates to a drilling mud which contains a hydrocarbon oil of reduced marine toxicity and improved biodegradability.

The rotary drilling process is used for making wells for 10 the production of oil, gas and other subterranean minerals such as sulfur. In rotary drilling operations, a drill bit at the end of a drill string is used to penetrate the subterranean formations. This drill bit may be driven by a rotating drill string or a drill motor powered, for example, by hydraulic During the rotary drilling operation, a fluid, 15 power. conventionally referred to as drilling mud, is circulated from the drilling equipment of the surface down to the drill bit where it escapes around the drill bit and returns to the surface along the annular space between the drill bit and the 20 surrounding subsurface formations. The drilling mud lubricates the downhole equipment and brings the formation cuttings to the surface where they can be separated from the mud before it is In addition, the drilling mud serves to recirculated. counterbalance formation pressures and may also form a cake 25 around the walls of the borehole to seal the formations. lubricating action of the drilling mud is particularly important with the conventional rotating drill string since it provides a lubricant or cushion between the rotating drill pipe and the walls of the borehole, helping to prevent sticking of the drill 30 string in the hole. The characteristics and performance of drilling muds are described, for example, in Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Third Edition, John Wiley and Sons, 1982, under Petroleum (Drilling Muds), to which reference is made for a description of drilling muds and the 35 materials used in formulating them.

Drilling muds are usually classified as either water-based muds or oil-based muds, depending upon the character of the continuous phase of the mud, although water-based muds may

contain oil and oil-based muds may contain water. Water-based muds conventionally comprise a hydratable clay, usually of the montmorillonite family, suspended in water with the aid of suitable surfactants, emulsifiers and other additives including 5 salts, pH control agents and weighting agents such as barite. The water makes up the continuous phase of the mud and is usually present in an amount of at least 50 percent of the entire composition; oil may be present in minor amounts but will typically not exceed the amount of the water so that the mud 10 will retain its character as a water-continuous phase material. Oil-based muds on the other hand, generally use a hydrocarbon oil as the main liquid component with other materials such as clays or colloidal asphalts added to provide the desired viscosity together with emulsifiers, gellants and other 15 additives including weighting agents. Water may be present in greater or lesser amounts but will usually not be greater than 50 percent of the entire composition; if more than about 10 percent water is present, the mud is often referred to as an invert emulsion, i.e a water-in-oil emulsion. 20 emulsion fluids, the amount of water is typically up to about 40 weight percent with the oil and the additives making up the remainder of the fluid.

Oil-based muds are conventionally formulated with diesel oil or kerosene as the main oil component as these hydrocarbon 25 fractions generally posses the requisite characteristics. They do, however, posses the disadvantage of being relatively toxic to marine life and the discharge of drilling muds containing these oils into marine waters is usually strictly controlled because of the serious effects which 30 the oil components may have on marine organisms, particularly those which are commercially important for food. reason, offshore drilling rigs must return oil-based muds to shore after they have been used whereas water-based muds may generally be discharged into the ocean without any deleterious 35 effects.

Oil-based muds may be made environmentally acceptable by the use of oils which posses low inherent toxicity to marine

organisms and good biodegradability. These properties are associated in hydrocarbons with low aromaticity. For these reasons, drilling fluids based on linear paraffins might be considered desirable. On the other hand, however, the linear paraffins tend to have high pour points and the higher molecular weight fractions tend to be waxy so that in the low temperature environments frequently encountered in offshore drilling, there is a significant risk that waxy paraffin deposits will be formed in the downhole equipment or in the riser connecting the sea bed to the drilling equipment. In either event, this is unacceptable so that highly paraffinic oils have not achieved any significant utility as the base fluids in oil based muds.

We have now found that drilling muds and other well treatment fluids may be formulated to have improved levels of biodegradability and low marine toxicity together with other advantageous properties by using a mixed mineral oil olefinic-paraffinic hydrocarbon component containing from 10 to 18 carbon atoms in addition to a PAO. This paraffinic-olefinic mixture is made up of C₁₀-C₁₈ paraffins in combination with C₁₀-C₁₈ olefins to give a blend of the correct viscometrics. With the PAO component present, the hydrocarbon oil has a viscosity of 1 to 4 cS at 100C (ASTM D-445), a flash point of at least 70C, and a pour point no higher than +5C.

According to the present invention, well fluids such as drilling fluids used in the rotary drilling of wells into subterranean formations for the production of oil, gas and other minerals, are formulated with an oil component which is a hydrocarbon blend of a mixed petroleum-based (mineral oil origin) paraffinic-olefinic component and a synthetic PAO component of low viscosity. The blended oil component has a viscosity of 0.5, for example 1, to 4 cS, preferably 0.7 to 2 cS at 100C (ASTM D-445) and a viscosity of 1.0 to 30 cS, preferably 2.0 to 20 cS, at 40°C and a flash point (ASTM D-93) of at least 70C, preferably at least 100C or higher, for example, at least 120C. Pour point (ASTM D-97) should be no higher than +5C, for example from -60 to +5°C, preferably no higher than 0 or even -5C. The specific gravity of the oil is

ż.

in the range 0.75 to 0.82. at 60F (15.6C)(ASTM D-1298)

The mineral oil component of the hydrocarbon blends which are used in the present non-toxic well fluids is produced from conventional mineral oil sources and is essentially a mixture of $C_{10}^{-C}_{18}$ n-paraffins and $C_{10}^{-C}_{18}$ olefins of low viscosity suitable for formulation into the well fluids after the addition of the PAO component.

The olefinic component of the blend is normally a C₁₂-C₁₆ olefin, usually an alpha-olefin such as 1-dodecene or 110 tetradecene, for a suitable balance of pour point, flash point and viscosity in the final oil component. The amount of the olefin in the hydrocarbon blend is usually in the range of 5 to 75 weight percent and in most cases, from 10 to 60 weight percent of the blend. Normally, from 15 to 30 weight percent of the olefin component will be preferred.

The C₁₀-C₁₈ paraffins make up the bulk of the hydrocarbon blend and are usually n-paraffins although minor amounts of isoparaffins and cycloparaffins may be present as impurities. The paraffinic mixtures typically contain at least 98 weight percent 20 n-paraffins and are essentially free of aromatics (less than 1 weight percent monocyclic and preferably less than 0.5, aromatics). The paraffinic component need not include paraffins across the entire C_{10}^{-C} range but may be more limited in terms of carbon number in order to provide the desired viscometrics. 25 Typical paraffinic mixtures for blending with the olefinic component and the PAO component are shown below. One is a C_{10} -C₁₃ mixture with a low viscosity, pour point and flash point while the other two are higher carbon number $(C_{12}^{-C}_{14}, C_{14}^{-C}_{18})$ mixtures with correspondingly higher pour points, flash points 30 and viscosities. Paraffinic mixtures such as these may be used either as such or as blends with each other to achieve the desired properties in the final hydrocarbon blend. The amount of the paraffin component will normally be in the range of 20 to 90 weight percent of the blend, and in most cases in the 35 range of 30 to 75 weight percent.

- 5 Paraffin Hydrocarbons

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ASTM	Paraffin-A	Paraffin-B	Paraffin-C
	Physical Properties	D-445		1.93	2.42
	Viscosity @ 38°C	D-445		2.41	3.27
5	Pour Point °C	D-97	-21	-4	7
	Spec. Gravity @ 15.6°C	D-129	8 0.751	0.764	
	Flash Point °C	D-93	69	93	118
	Composition, mass %	Mass	spec	• • •	•
10	Normal Alkanes		98.1	98.7	99.4
10	C10		13	_	-
	C11		36		· •
	C12		44		
	C13		7	60	
15			-		
15	C14 C15		-		42-45
	C16		-		- 16-18
	C17		-		4-6
	C17		•	- '	- 1-3
•			0.3	2 0.	
20	Cycloparaffins		1.	0.	6 0.6
	Mono-Aromatics	u.v.	0.	6 0.	2 0.01

In addition to the petroleum-based paraffin and olefin components, the well fluids also contain a poly alpha-olefin This component is produced by the oligomer (PAO). oligomerization of a 1-olefin, typically with the use of a 5 cationic catalyst such as a Lewis acid catlyst, for example, born trifluoride or aluminum chloride. Boron trifluoride is preferred as the catalyst since it is a liquid phase, homogeneous catalyst which readily produces the low molecular weight, low viscosity oligomers which are used in the present 10 well fluids. PAOs are well-known materials and are commercially available from a number of sources. Processes for making them are described, for example, in U.S. Patents Nos. 3,780,128 (Shubkin), 4,405,507 (Cupples) and 4,405,508 (Cupples). PAOs of low viscosity are also described in U.S. 4,956,122 (Watts). 15 The olefin monomers which are conventionally used in the production of PAOs are the 1-olefins containing from 6 to 20 carbon atoms, preferably from 8 to 18 carbon atoms, especially from 8 to 12 carbon atoms, with particular preference given to 1-decene since the oligomer products have a particularly 20 favorable balance of properties. The olefin oligomers typically possess some residual unsaturation after the oligomerization has taken place and if desired, the oligomers may be hydrogenated prior to use in the present fluids but it is not essential to do so. Bromine numbers on the as-synthesized oligomers are 25 typically in the range of 30 to 50 and less than 5, usually less than 2 for the hydrogenated materials. Both the hydrogenated and unhydrogenated oligomers of this type possess good biodegradability.

The PAO components used in the present fluids are the low molecular weight oligomers, preferably dimers or mixed dimer/trimer, of the olefins described above. Normally, these PAOs are predominantly C₂₀ to C₅₀ polyolefins. The molecular weight of the selected PAO will depend on the desired viscometrics for the drilling fluid; the lower molecular weight oligomers have lower viscosities. The PAO oligomers typically have a viscosity in the range of 1 to 6 cS (100C), more typically in the range of 1 to 4 cS (100C). Flash points are

usually above 150C for this component. The structure of these oligomers confers good low temeperature properties, as indicated by pour points (D-97) below -40C and in most cases below -50 or -60C. Typical PAOs which may be used in the present fluids are polydecenes having approximately the following properties:

				PAO~A	PAO-B
	Viscosity:	D-445	100°C	1.7	2.4
	-	D-445	40°C	5	8
		D-445	-40°C	260	625
10	Pour Point,	D-97	°C	- 65	-62
	Flash Point,	D-93	°C	160	170

PAO-A is essentially a decene-1 dimer (over 98% C₂₀) while PAO-B is a decene-1 mixed dimer-trimer (over 97.5% C₂₀-C₃₀), with a dimer-tetramer ratio of about 1.35:1. Other similar PAO materials may be used in the present fluids provided that the desired properties are achieved in the final composition.

The PAO component is used in an amount from 3 to 80 weight 20 percent, typically from 15, for example 7, to 25, preferably from 10 to 20, weight percent of the total hydrocarbons in the oil component of the mud.

The paraffinic hydrocarbon component comprises a fraction in the $C_{10}-C_{18}$ range; for example, the $C_{14}-C_{18}$ range, the $C_{12}-C_{16}$ 25 range, the $C_{12}-C_{14}$ range or the $C_{10}-C_{14}$ range.

The olefinic hydrocarbon component comprises a fraction in the $C_{10}-C_{18}$ range; for example, the C_{12} to C_{18} range or the $C_{12}-C_{16}$ range; preferably it comprises 1-dodecene or 1-tetradecane.

The composition of the hydrocarbon blend including both the 30 $\rm C_{12}^{-C}_{18}$ component as well as the $\rm C_{20}$ and higher components from the PAO, is given below.

- 8 -

Well Fluid Hydrocarbons

5	Hydrocarbon	Min.	Max.	Pref. F	ref. Max.	<u>Typical</u>
	Paraffinic/Olefi	nic Compo	nents			
	Dodecene	0	3	0.5	. 2	1
	Tetradecane	5	20	10	. 20	18
10	Tetradecene	10	60	10	30	19
	Pentadecane	5	30	15	30	26
	Hexadecane	3	15	. 3	15	10
	Hexadecene	0	0.5	0.5	2	1
	Heptadecane	0	5	1	5	3
15	Octadecene	0	0.5	0.5	2	1
	PAO Components					
	Eicosane	. 5	50	5	15	12
20	Triacontane	2	40	5	10	8
_	Tetracontane	0	5	0.5	2	1

The oil component is formulated into drilling muds or other 25 well treatment fluids such as completion fluids. Formulation will, with the exception of the choice of the specific oil component as the hydrocarbon base fluid, be conventional in type and normal types of additives including emulsifiers, surfactants, viscosity-modifying agents, weighting agents and other components will be suitable. The density of the muds will typically be in the normal range of 6 to 28 pounds per gallon.

The preferred type of muds using the present oils are oilbased muds, especially the invert-emulsion type muds which contain water disperesed in the oil component which makes up the continuous phase of the final emulsion-type mud. In muds of the invert emulsion type, the amount of oil in the final mud will typically be from 25 to 75% by weight, and is typically in the range of 40 to 60% by weight of the final mud. The balance of the mud typically comprises water and the normal additives such as clays, salts such as sodium chloride, calcium chloride or calcium bromide, weighting agents such as barite or hematite (high density fluids) or dolomite or calcite (low density fluids such as completion and work-over fluids), viscosity modifiers, pH control agents, circulation control agents such as ground seed hulls or shredded cellulosic materials and other additives

wehich may be conventional in type. When the oil component is formulated into the mud, conventional blending procedures are used, for example, blending the oil with the emulsifiers and surfactants, followed by mixing with water in the requisite amounts to form the final invert (water-in-oil) emulsion which may then be blended with other additives, as necessary.

If the oil component is used in water-based muds, it would appropriately be used in an amount of up to 50 weight percent of the mud with the other components being water and 10 conventional type additives, as described above.

The well fluids based on these paraffin/olefin/PAO blends may be used as drilling muds in rotary drilling as well as in other well operations, for example, for filling the well during testing, completion work-over, in the same way as other muds and 15 well treatment fluids. the fluids based on the present oils have, however, the particular advantage that in offshore drilling operations, cuttings contaminated with the fluids may be disposed of by discharge into the sea. The good biodegradability and non-toxicity of the present muds permits this type of cuttings disposal in the ocean environment without any significant risk of persistent pollution.

The folowing Examples illustrate the preparation and testing of well fluids according to the present invention. In all the Examples, the oil component, identified as Oil-C was a 25 blend of 60 weight percent of a $\rm C_{14}^{-}C_{18}$ paraffinic hydrocarbon oil (Paraffin-C above) and 20 weight percent of tetradecene (99.6 percent 1-olefins, 95.5 percent $\rm C_{14}$, 2.5 percent $\rm C_{16}$) together with 20 weight percent of a 2-4 cS PAO prepared by the oligomerization of 1-decene using a boron trifluoride catalyst 30 (PAO-B above). The final oil blend had the composition set out in the table below, where the $\rm C_{12}^{-}C_{18}$ components are derived from the paraffinic oil and the olefin and the $\rm C_{20}$ and higher components come from the PAO.

Hydrocarbon Blend, wt. pct.

	Dodecene	1
5	Tetradecene	20
J	Tetradecane	. 20
	Pentadecane	25
	Hexadecane	10
	Heptadecane	3
10	Octadecane	. 1
	Eicosane	11
	Triacontane	8
	Tetracosane	_1
15		100

Example 1

A series of differently weighted 40/60 oil/water muds (10, 20 12, 14 pounds per gallon - ppg) were made with the oil blend and the following components:

	Emulsifier	Ezmul	NT	(Baroid)
	Rheology Modifier	RM	63	(Baroid)
	Fluid Loss AdditiveD	uratone	HT	(Baroid)
25	Organoclay	Geltone	II	(Baroid)

The formulations are set out in the table below.

30 40/	60 Oil	/Water	Mud
--------	--------	--------	-----

			10 ppg	12 ppg	14 ppg
	Oil C	(bbl)	0.325	0.303	0.275
	Ezmul NT	(ppb)	8.0	8.0	8.0
35	Duratone HT	(ppb)	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Lime	(ppb)	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Geltone II	(ppb)	1.0	1.0	0.5
	Water	(bbl)	0.504	0.464	0.425
	CaCl ₂ (82%)	(ppb)	77.0	71.4	65.3
40	RM63 ²	(ppb)	2.0	~	-
	Barite	(ppb)	61.5	173.4	285.5

These muds were tested for the following properties, using the test conditions specified:

- 11 -

5	Property Apparent Viscosity Plastic Viscosity Yield Point Gel Strength	(AV) (PV) (YP) (Gel)	rest Conditions cps, 600 rpm reading/2 120F cps, 120F lb/100 sq. ft., 120F 10sec./10min., 120F
	Electric Strength	(ES)	volts, 120F

These properties were determined both before (BHR) and 10 after (AHR) hot rolling at250F for 16 hours. All rheologies and ES were measured at 120°F.

The properties of the muds are shown below.

Mud Properties

15

			10 ppg	1	2 ppg		ppg
		BHR	<u>AHR</u>	<u>BHR</u>	<u>AHR</u>	<u>BHR</u>	AHR
	AV	108.5	83.5	116	116	127	123
20		64	64	86	84	98	95
20	PV	89	39	60	64	58	56
	YP Gel	40/55	14/18	21/22	19/20	18/21	17/18
		45	17	25	24	24	21
	6 rpm ES	305 V	414 V	414 V	360 V	360 V	387 V
25	HTHP @ 250°I		2.4 mls	_	12.8 mls	- 13	$3.6 \mathrm{mls}$
25	MINF 6 230 1				inc 6		nc 6.4
					mls H ₂ 0	m.	ls H ₂ O
			•		2		2

Note
The high HTHPs may be due to the RM 63 being removed from the formulation for the 12 and 14 ppg muds.

Example 2

A series of 55/45 oil/water muds were formulated and tested as in Example 1. The formulations and test results are given below.

- 12 -

55/45 Muds

			10 ppq	12 ppg	14 ppq
5	oil C	(bb1)	0.42	0.415	0.379
_	Ezmul NT	(ppb)	8.0	8.0	8.0
	Duratone HT	(ppb)	. 2.0	2.0	2.0
	Lime	(ppb)	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Geltone II	(dqq)	4.0	2.5	1.0
10	Water	(bbl)	0.375	0.345	0.316
	CaCl ₂ (82%)	(ppb)	57.7	53.1	48.5
	RM63 ²	(ppb)	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Barite	(dqq)	89.5	199.7	310.0

15 <u>Mud Properties</u>

		10	ppg	12	ppg	14	ppg
		BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR	BHR	AHR
	AV	51	43	60.5	44	68	54.5
20	PV	33	38	37	39	40	45 .
	ΥP	36	10	47	10	56	19
	Gel	19/30	12/22	25/53	10/21	20/30	14/22
	6 rpm	21	10	25	10	23	15
	ES	331 V	291 V	467 V	417 V	448 V	456 V
25	HTHP @	-	3.6 mls	-	3.8 mls	-	1.0 mls
	250°F		•				

Example 3

A series of differently weighted 75/25 oil/water muds 30 (12, 14, 16 ppg) were made and tested as in Example 1. The results are given below.

- 13 -

75/25 Muds

		12 ppg	14 ppg	16 ppg
Oil C Ezmul NT Duratone HT Lime 10 Geltone II Water CaCl ₂ (82%) RM63 ² Barite	(bbl) (ppb) (ppb) (ppb) (pbl) (ppb) (ppb) (ppb) (ppb) (ppb)	0.550 10.0 6.0 4.0 8.0 0.191 29.4 2.0 227.3	0.497 10.0 6.0 4.0 6.0 0.175 26.9 2.0	0.445 10.0 6.0 4.0 4.0 0.158 24.4 2.0 440.3

Mud Properties

		12	ppg	14	ppg		ppg
20		BHR	<u>AHR</u>	BHR	AHR	<u>BHR</u> 72	<u>AHR</u> 45.5
	AV	48	29	59	37		38
	PV	31	24	38	32	49	
	YP	34	10	42	10	46	15
	Gel	24/35	6/15	27/36	7/15	25/37	9/17
25	6 rpm	22	['] 6	28	7	26	8
25	ES ES	1101 V	458 V	1225 V	513 V	1344V	723 V
	HTHP 0	1101	54 mls	-	47.4 mls	-	23.8 mls
			inc 13.	Δ	inc 10		Trace
	250°F		mls H ₂ C		mls H ₀ 0		н ₂ о
30			/Emulsi		/EmuIsion		2

PCT/US94/07655

- 14 -

Example 4

A series of differently weighted (14, 16, 18 ppg) 80/20 oil/water muds were made and tested as in Example 1. The 5 results are given below.

80/20 Muds

			14 ppq	16 ppg	18 ppg
10	oil C	(bbl)	0.524	0.469	0.416
	Ezmul NT	(ppb)	12.0	12.0	12.0
	Duratone HT	(ppb)	7.0	7.0	7.0
	Lime	(ppb)	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Geltone II	(ppb)	7.0	5.0	3.0
15	Water	(bbl)	0.138	0.126	0.113
	CaCl ₂ (82%)	(ppb)	21.3	19.4	17.4
	2 (1117)	(ppb)	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Barite	(dgg)	344.5	451.4	558.2

. 20

Mud Properties

		14	ppg	16	ppg		18 ppg
		BHR	AHR	BHR	<u>AHR</u>	BHR	AHR
25	AV	50	30	68 ·	53.5	95	68.5
	PV	34	25	50	38	72	60
	YP	32	10	36	11	46	17
	Gel	18/32	8/14	21/35	8/16 2	5/35	10/23
	6 rpm	18	6	22	7	25	9
30	ES	1575 V	736 V	1526 V	976 V	1425	V 959 V
	HTHP @	-	26.2 mls	-	37.6 mls	-	32.2 mls
	250°F		Trace				•
			H ₂ 0				

35

Example 5

The toxicity of the base oil (Oil C) and two formulated drilling muds was evaluated using organisms representing two trophic levels (Skeletonema costatum, algae and Acartia tonsa, herbivorous crustacean). Tests were conducted on the dissolved phase of seawater extracts of the three materials, in accordance with ISO/PARCOM protocols (Toxicity Test with Marine Unicellular Algae:Technical Support Document for the ISO DP 10253 Standard Method; Proposal to TC147/SC5/WG2: 45 Determination of Acute Lethal Toxicity to Marine Copepods (Copepoda; Crustacea)).

Skeletonema

The effect of the test material was assessed by measuring the degree to which the cell culture growth rate was inhibited. This is expressed as the EC₅₀ value; the 5 concentration which reduces growth rate to 50% with respect to the growth rate of control cultures. The test is of 72 h duration, and the intrinsic daily growth rates of cultures are calculated over 24, 48 and 72 h. Duplicate cultures were tested in media prepared from nutrient-enriched seawater to 10 which a range of quantities of the test material had been added.

Rangefinding tests were conducted at 20°C over 24 h in media prepared from additions of 10, 100, 100 and 10000 mg/l (base oil) or 10, 32, 100 and 320 mg/l (formulated muds).

15 These tests indicated that the base oil was non-toxic at 10000 mg/l added substance, and that the formulated muds were not toxic at 320 mg/l added test substance.

Definitive tests were conducted on the formulated muds at addition rates of 1000, 1800, 3200 and 5600 mg/l. The 48 20 h and 72 h LC_{50s} for an oil mud formulated using additives from MI GB Ltd (referred to below as "MI mud") were estimated to be 4095 and 4983 mg/l respectively, while the 48 h and 72 h LC_{50s} for an oil mud formulated using additives from BW Mud Ltd. (referred to below as "BW mud") was estimated to be 4820 and 5971 mg/l respectively.

Acartia

Effects on Acartia were assessed in terms of the proportion of individuals dead or immobile after 24 h and 48 30 h exposure to the test medium, and are expressed as an LC₅₀ value; the concentration at which 50 % of a test population is killed or immobilized. Adult Acartia (27 days old) were exposed in groups of five in 100 ml crystallizing dishes containing 50 ml of test medium. In rangefinding tests, five 35 animals per concentration were exposed for 24 h at 20°C. In definitive tests, twenty animals were exposed (in four replicates of five) for 48 h under the same conditions.

Test media were prepared by direct addition of the test substance to 0.45 μ m-filtered seawater. In the rangefinding tests, additions of 1, 10, 100, and 1000 mg/l were prepared. In definitive tests, additions of 100, 1800, 3200 and 5600 mg/l were prepared.

The base oil and MI mud were not toxic at the highest concentrations tested (5600 mg/l). Mortality was observed at the 5600 mg/l with BW mud, and an approximate LC_{50} of 5400 mg/l was estimated graphically using a log-probability plot.

From these observations it was concluded that the three materials tested (base oil, two muds) were of low toxicity to both test organisms. There was some evidence that the formulated muds were more toxic than the base oil. Both muds were of similar toxicity, although the small degree of response did not permit precise calculation or comparison of effects concentrations.

Toxicity Test Results

Skeletonema

The starter culture characteristics and the initial 20 inoculum data for the rangefinding tests were as follows:

Starter culture inoculation: 10^3 cells/ml Starter culture cell count at 96h: $9.6*10^5$ cells/ml Initial test inoculum: $0.29 \ \mu m^3.10^6$

25 The average control growth rate in the rangefinding tests was 1.11 d⁻¹. The rangefinding tests indicated that the test material preparations were not toxic (growth was not reduced with respect to the controls) over 24 h at the highest concentrations tested - 10000 ppm in the case of the base oil and 320 ppm in the case of the formulated muds (Table 3). Accordingly, definitive tests were conducted on the muds at addition rates between 1000 and 5600 mg/l.

The starter culture characteristics and the initial inoculum data for the definitive tests were:

35 Starter culture inoculation: 10³ cells/ml Starter culture cell count at 96h: 5.1*10⁵ cells/ml Initial test inoculum: 103 cells/ml, 0.12 µm³.10⁶

- 17 -

Raw observations of algal cell volume were made at 24, 48 and 72 h in the definitive tests. Contol growth rate was maintained above the guideline value of 0.9 d⁻¹ throughout the tests. Measurements of pH made in all vessels at the start and end of the test, and in controls at 24 h intervals, indicated that values lay between 8.09 and 8.21, and did not vary systematically between test substances or between concentrations.

Both muds were of similar, low toxicity. EC_{50} values 10 were estimated using the moving average-angle method and are shown below.

EC₅₀ Values for Muds

15	Test Substance	EC ₅₀ (mg/l added 48 h.	test subst.) 72h.
	MI Mud	4090	4980
	BW Mud	4820	5970
~ ~			

20

Acartia

No mortality was observed in rangefinding tests at any 25 addition rate of any test mud between 1 and 1000 mg/l.

The results of the definitive tests are presented in Table 8. After 24 h, mortality did not exceed 10 % in any treatment, and was not systematically related to treatment level. Control mortality after 48 h was 10 %, and within 30 guideline limits. Control mortality was exceeded after 48 h only at an addition rate of 5600 mg/l of BW mud, and an approximate 48 h LC₅₀ of 5400 mg/l was estimated using graphical interpolation (log-probability plot).

- 18 - ACARTIA DEFINITIVE TESTS: EFFECTS AT 24 AND 48 H

•	Product	•	Treati	nent	24 hoursa		48		
	hoursa		1	10.					
5	Houre		.]	o.	Mean %		b	Mean %	Expsd.
5	Base	5600	.0	Ó	0	0	0	0	20
	Oil					_	^	0	21
		3200	0	0	0	0	0		
		1800	0	0	0	0	2	10	20
10		1000	0	0	0	O	0	0	. 20
10	MI Mud	5600	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	•••	3200	0	0	0	0	0	4.8	21
		1800	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
		1000	0	1	5	0	2	9.5	21
15	BW	5600	0	0	0	4	8	60	20
	Mud								
		3200	1	1	10	1	. 0	. 5 ,	
		1800	0	0	0	0	0	0 -	20
		1000	0	. 0	0	1	1		10
20	20								
	Control			0	0	0	C) 0	0
	0	20							
		0	0	0	0	2	(10	20

- 19 -

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION Skeletonema

None of the products tested (base oil, muds) caused a addition rates Skeletonema; in response 5 approximately 5000 mg/l or greater are clearly necessary to reduce growth rates by 50 %. It was observed that growth rates fluctuated considerably between successive 24 h periods, and that the greatest effects occurred during the 24-48 h interval. The reductions in effect over the final 24 10 h of the test may have been due either to a loss of test material from the vessels, or, more likely, due to the 'dilution' of effect as biomass increased and the 'load' of test substance per cell decreased (with corresponding increase in surface area for adsorption).

15

Acartia

None of the products tested (base oil, muds) caused a severe response in Acartia. A 48 h LC₅₀ could be estimated only for BW mud, but this figure is approximate since a clear response was observed only at the highest concentration tested. As with the Skeletonema tests, the 50 % effects levels were clearly in the region of, or above, 5000 mg/l added substance.

25

Example 6

Blend Studies

Well fluid blend studies were made with paraffins, olefins and PAOs. The hydrocarbon blends set out in the tables below were formulated with olefins (dodecene-1 or tetradecene-1), paraffins (Paraffin-A, Paraffin-B or Paraffin-C, above) and PAOs (PAO-A or PAO-B, above). The blends were tested for viscometrics and flash point. The results below show that it is possible to achieve the desired viscometrics with the described blends according to the invention.

- 20 -

PAO-A BLEND STUDY

	Blend A-	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5	PAO-A	20	20	20) :	20	20	20	50	50	50
	Dodecene-1	40	40	40)				25	25	25
	Tetradecene-1				4	40	40	40			
	Paraff-A .	40			4	0			25		
	Paraff-B			40)			40		:	25
10	Paraff-C			40				40		٠	25
	Visc. 0.	71	0.80	0.88	0.81	1 0.92	2 1.0	1 0	.99	1.06	1.13
	100°C										
	40°C 1.	72	1.95	2.18	1.99	2.26	2.52	2.	59 2	2.79	2.99
15	Pour Pt. °C -	-34	-28	-24	-26	-20	-15	; -	44	-40	-37
	Disch Dt 80	00	0.0	100	0.0	100	110		02	100	115

STUDY
į,
BLEND
8
ī
0
ĕ
O.

									,	•	•	•	•
Ľ	Blend B-	ਜ	N	m	▼	S)	v	7	ω	o.	10	=	77
)	PAO-A	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	Dodecene-1	40	40	40							20	20	20
	Tetradecene-1	. .			40	40	40	20	20	20			
	Paraff-A				40			09			09		
10	Paraff-B		40			40			09			09	
	Paraff-C			40			40			09			09
	Visc. 100°C	0.92	0.93	0.99	1.0 1.0	1.0			0.81 0.96	1.17	0.75	06.0	1.04
	40°C	40°C 1.88	2.13		2.16	2.45	2.74		2.3	2.71	1.88	2.26	2.67
ß	15 Pour Pt. °C	-26		ω I	-22	-12	-65	-28	-19	Г	-32	-23	-16
	Flach Dt of	82	. ŏ	104	88	110	128	94	109	124	91	105	120

- 21 -

- 22 -

CLAIMS

1. A hydrocarbon blend of:

- (i) a poly alpha-olefin (PAO) component having a viscosity of 1 to 6 cS and
- 5 (ii) a paraffinic hydrocarbon component of petroleum origin in the C_{10} C_{18} range, and
 - (iii) an olefinic hydrocarbon component in the ${\rm C}_{10}$ ${\rm C}_{18}$ range,

the proportions of the PAO component, the paraffinic 10 hydrocarbon component and the olefinic hydrocarbon component being such that the blend has the following properties:

Viscosity at 100C

0.5 to 4 cS

Viscosity at 40C

1.0 to 30 cS

Pour point

not above +5C

15 Flash point

not less than 70C.

2. A blend according to claim 1 which has a viscosity at 100C from 0.7 to 2 cS and a viscosity at 40C from 2.0 to 20 cS.

20

- 3. A blend according to claim 1 or 2 which has a flash point of at least 100C.
- 4. A blend according to any preceding claim which has a 25 pour point from -60 to OC.
 - 5. A blend according to any preceding claim in which the PAO component has a viscosity at 100C of 1 to 4 cS.
- 30 6. A blend according to any preceding claim in which the PAO component comprises an oligomer of a C_8-C_{18} 1-olefin.
- A blend according to any preceding claim in which the amount of the PAO component is from 15 to 25 weight percent
 of the blend.

- 8. A blend according to any preceding claim in which the paraffinic hydrocarbon component comprises a fraction in the $\rm C_{12}^{-C}_{16}$ range.
- 5 9. A blend according to any preceding claim in which the olefinic component comprises a 1-olefin in the C_{12} - C_{16} range.
- 10. A blend according to any preceding claim which includes the following components, in weight percent, based on the 10 weight of the hydrocarbon blend:

	dodecane	0 to 3
	tetradecane	5 to 30
	tetradecene	10 to 60
	pentadecane	5 to 30
15	hexadecane	3 to 15
	hexadecene	0 to 5
	heptadecane	0 to 5
	octadecane	0 to 5
	eicosane	5 to 50
20	triacontane	2 to 40
	tetracontane	0 to 5

11. A blend according to any preceding claim which includes 25 the following components, in weight percent, based on the weight of the hydrocarbon blend:

	dodecene	0.5 to 2
	tetradecane	10 to 25
	tetradecene	10 to 30
30	pentadecane	15 to 30
	hexadecane	3 to 15
	hexadecene	0.5 to 2
	heptadecane	1 to 5
	octadecene	0.5 to 2
35	eicosane	5 to 15
	triacontane	5 to 10
	tetracontane	0.5 to 2

12. A blend according to any preceding claim which 40 includes the following components, in weight percent, based on the weight of the hydrocarbon blend:

	dodecene	0.5 to 2
	tetradecane	15 to 20
	tetradecene	15 to 20
45	pentadecane	20 to 30
	hexadecane	5 to 15
	hexadecene	0.5 to 2

	heptadecane	2 to 5 0.5 to 2
	octadecene	
	eicosane	5 to 15
	triacontane	5 to 10
5	tetracontane	0.5 to 2

13. A blend according to any preceding claim which includes the following components, in weight percent, based 10 on the weight of the hydrocarbon blend:

	decane	5 to 10
15	undecane	10 to 30
	dodecane	20 to 30
	tridecane	0 to 10
	tetradecene	10 to 60
	eicosane	5 to 50
	triacontane	2 to 40
	tetracontane	0 to 5

20

14. A blend according to any preceding claim which includes the following components, in weight percent, based on the weight of the hydrocarbon blend:

	decane	5 to 10
25	undecane	20 to 30
	dodecane	20 to 30
	tridecane	2 to 5
	tetradecene	10 to 30
	eicosane	5 to 15
30	triacontane	5 to 10
-	tetracontane	0.5 to 2

15. A blend according to any preceding claim which 35 includes the following components, in weight percent, based on the weight of the hydrocarbon blend:

	dodecane	5 to 10
	undecane	20 to 50
	tetradecane	10 to 30
40	tetradecene	5 to 40
	eicosane	5 to 50
	triacontane	2 to 40
	tetracontane	0 to 5

45 16. A blend according to any preceding claim which includes the following components, in weight percent, based on the weight of the hydrocarbon blend:

dodecane

~ 25 -

	undecane	30 t	:0 4	Ю
	tetradecane	10 t	0 2	0
	tetradecene	10 t	:0 3	0
	eicosane	5 t	o 1	.5
5	triacontane	. 5 t	o 1	0.
-	tetracontane	0.5 t	:0	2

- 17. A blend according to any preceding claim formulated 10 as a well fluid.
 - 18. A blend according to any preceeding claim formulated as a drilling mud.
- 15 19. Use of a well fluid or drilling mud of improved biodegradability and/or reduced marine toxicity according to claim 17 or 18 in a marine well-drilling operation in which drill cuttings containing the well fluid or drilling mud are discharged to the sea.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US94/07655

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(5) : C09K 7/00				
US CL	:507/103, 905 to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to bo	th national classification and IPC		
	LDS SEARCHED			
Minimum e	documentation searched (classification system follow	ved by classification symbols)		
U.S. :	507/103, 905			
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such documents are included	d in the fields searched	
i	data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable	, search terms used)	
C. DOC	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
Υ	US, A, 5,096,883 (MERCER ET columns 1-12.	AL) 17 March 1992, see	1-3	
Υ .	US, A, 5,045,219 (TRAHAN ET see columns 1-6.	AL) 03 September 1991,	1-3	
Υ	US, A, 4,876,017 (TRAHAN ET columns 1-10.	AL) 24 October 1989, see	1-3	
Y	US, A, 5,189,012 (PATEL ET A columns 1-10.	L) 23 February 1993, see	1-3	
Y US, A, 4,525,285 (SON ET AL) 25 June 1985, see col. 5, lines 37-51.			1-3	
Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box (C. See patent family annex.		
'A' disci	cial entegories of cited documents: ument defining the general state of the art which is not considered	"T" later document published after the inter date and not in conflict with the applicat principle or theory underlying the inve	ion but cited to understand the	
to be part of particular relevance 11: carrier document published on or after the international filing date		"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered	claimed invention cannot be	
cita	ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is d to establish the publication date of another citation or other cital reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the	charged invention cannot be	
() document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		considered to involve an inventive a combined with one or more other such being obvious to a person skilled in the	step when the document is documents, such combination	
the document published prior to the international filing date but later than "&" document member of the same patent family the priority date claimed			unity	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 07 SEPTEMBER 1994		Date of mailing of the international sear SEP 27 1994	ch report	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Authorized officer Plantle Box March 1987 Authorized Officer Plant				
Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 GARY GEIST				
Facsimile No	resimite No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-0448			